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Sean (00:00:18):
Welcome to everybody who's joining us early. We'll get started in just a few minutes.

Frances (00:00:24):
And if you've joined, feel free to put your name, your pronouns, where you're from, in the chat. We'd love to know who's participating in the webinar.

[NEW_PARAGRAPH](silence).

[NEW_PARAGRAPH]Hello, everyone. We're going to get started in a few minutes. If you put your name, pronouns and where you're from in the chat, that's really great, helpful to us and to the other people who will be participating. Welcome, Amanda. Regina, Allison. Colleen, we got a good Northeast representation here.

(00:02:51):
Welcome. We're going to get started in a few minutes. Glad to see you all. As you're joining, if you want to put your name, your pronouns, and where you're from, the organization you're from and where that is in the chat, that would be fantastic. Help us and the panelists know who's on the call. Hello, Kate and Julia. Tia, Molly and Joanna, Latrina. Great to see you all. Thank you for putting your name, your organization, and your location in the chat, as well as your pronouns. And you might [inaudible 00:03:56].

Sean (00:03:56):
We just launched a poll. We also just launched a poll just to get a little bit more information. As you're joining us, feel free to put your name, pronouns and where you're joining us from in the chat. But then, also interested in knowing which of these best describes your role. And we'll show the results of this poll in just another few minutes. It's only one minute after the top of the hour, so we'll keep letting people join for another minute or two, and then we'll get started shortly.

[NEW_PARAGRAPH](silence).

Frances (00:05:05):
Welcome, great to see you all. People are putting their name, organization and where they're from and their pronouns in the chat, and also answering the poll.

[NEW_PARAGRAPH](silence).

Sean (00:05:42):
All right. I think we've probably reached critical mass, so maybe let's get started. And first want to just start by thanking everybody for joining us. Again, as you're joining, feel free to put your name, pronouns, and where you're joining us from in the chat. And also, if you haven't already, feel free to answer the poll question that is probably showing up on your screen. We'll show the results of that poll in just another minute. But want to get started with a little bit of introductions. And so, the title for this webinar is, For the Long Haul: Supporting and Sustaining Leaders of Color. And on the next slide we have some basic instructions related to tech.

(00:06:30):
Just so everyone knows in this webinar format, we're using the Q&A feature. You can also use chat to show support and things you agree with. And, if you have particular questions, feel free to use the Q&A. If you're having issues around particular tech concerns, please, in the chat select the 'BMP Admin,' and send a private message to the BMP team, so that you can get some direct support on any tech issues. We are recording the webinar. Our standard practice as an organization is to share the link and recording of the webinar with people who have registered. Look out for an additional email from us next week.
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On the next slide, just a little bit of background on BMP as an organization. We are a national project with a focus on supporting communities and organizations and networks and movements, to really address root causes of inequity and injustice. And for 25 years, we've been an organization that's been focused on developing insightful research, practical tools and resources to support organizational change efforts, and opportunities for deepening relationships, to support organizations to work together in deeper alignment and solidarity with each other.

We have a current survey that is live right now in the field where we're trying to gather data about how the current political climate, is impacting nonprofit organizations. You can take a picture of that QR code. The team from BMP will also drop the link to the survey that is currently live. Again, if you are in a leadership role in a nonprofit organizations, it's a really important opportunity to contribute to data collection effort around some of the challenges that organizations are facing in the current political climate.

Then, on the next slide, just a really quick review of the agenda before we pause and show some of the poll results. But we’re going to start out with some conversation about the data that BMP has collected over three surveys on race and leadership in the sector. Then we're going to drill down into the latest findings from our report, the push and pull that looks at, why leadership aspiration is declining. What we see as negative forces that are pushing people of color, towards leadership, and some of the dilemmas and challenges that leaders of color end up facing once they attain top leadership roles in the sector. And then we’re going to move into a panel discussion to talk about, what kind of supports can help to draw, pull, sustain leaders of color in the sector? And we'll wrap up with Q&A.

Before digging into some of the report findings, just want to see if we can show some of the results of that first poll question, just to get a sense of who's in the room with us. It looks like the majority or the biggest share of folks in the room are current leaders of color. Great to have you, welcome. We also have a lot of aspiring leaders of color, so, great to be able to have that 10% provide some support to you all and encouragement in terms of staying on the path towards becoming a organizational leader. Great also to have white leaders, current and aspiring, and then other capacity builders in the room with us.

On this next slide, we're going to start talking about our findings from the Race to Lead Initiative over time. And so, here on this next slide you can see, some of the big picture trends, in terms of the Race to Lead Initiative. We have done surveys in 2016, 2019 and 2022, and combined that, all of those surveys have garnered over 2000 responses. So it's a very big data set, when we look at the results over the three surveys. We are making no assertions about the survey being longitudinal. We acknowledge that the respondents have changed from survey to survey, but the actual composition of the survey in terms of some key demographics, has been very similar.

And there are findings from previous years of the survey, that really resonate with our most recent data. I'm going to talk a little bit more about the demographics of the respondents to the survey, on this next
You can see that we have roughly had a 60/40 split, each of the years that we have done the survey with around, 60% of respondents to the survey being white, 40% of the respondents being people of color. And you can see the race breakdown in this figure as well.

On the next slide, we can show the gender and sexuality of the respondents and you can see that overall, the majority of the sample identify as women. And then there has been increasing shares of the respondents who identify as non-binary, non-conforming, gender-queer, those other sorts of gender identities. And then, with LGBTQ and straight, we have also seen an increase in the respondents identifying as LGBTQIA+.

On the next slide, we have the roles that people are reporting in the survey, and you can see that over time, the survey has become more weighted towards, respondents who are in more senior roles, CEO, executive directors, senior managers and directors. And it's very possible that this reflects some of the shifts in the sector, and also some of the fact that the data of so much of our reporting has really emphasized the challenges, particularly facing leaders and leaders of color in the sector.

On this next slide, we're looking at the impact of race on how people experience their own advancement in the nonprofit sector. And so, the question fundamentally has been, do they feel like their race has had a negative impact on their advancement or positive impact or no impact? And you can see, in 2016, a third of respondents of color reported that their race had a negative impact on their career advancement. But then in 2019 that increased to half, basically, and then it stayed at half in 2022. Clearly a shift in perception on the part of people of color about their career prospects and career advancement.

And a similar change happened for white respondents but for white respondents it started at half of white respondents acknowledging essentially white racial privilege in their advancement that then increasing to two thirds of white respondents in 2019 and 2022. Just some of the ways in which the data helps to visualize and capture some of the significant shifts in perception, and understanding of race in the nonprofit sector.

On the next slide, we're looking at the particular gaps that people of color and white respondents are reporting, based on the composition of the organizations that they're a part of. The first row of data is for responded who work for an organization that we categorized as a white run, based on the respondents reporting that, 75% or more of the board or senior leaders of their organization were white. And so, you can see for that first row, both in 2019 and in 2022, very noticeable gap between people of color and white respondents on the measure of whether they would be happy if they worked up the organization three years from now. Similar gap on whether people feel like they have a voice in those organizations. With people of color rating their organizations much less positively on a scale from one to 10, compared to their white peers in the same kinds of organizations.

The gaps narrow for respondents who are working in organizations that are more diverse, but not quite as diverse as the last row, which is, respondents who indicated that their organization is at least half of the board and senior leaders are people of color. And we categorize those as POC led organizations. And you can see in that bottom row that there's effectively no gap between people of color and whites in
And so, part of why we wanted to start by laying some of that out is because, we basically know from the data that respondents overall, are happier in organizations that are more diverse and that racial gaps between respondents are much more narrow in more diverse organizations as well. And so, I’m going to stop here and turn it over to Frances to talk more about the findings from this particular report, the push and pull. Frances, do you want to go ahead?

Frances:

Sure. Great to be here. Thank you all for being on the webinar. This is our first report that really includes the 2022 data. And for those of you who know us, our first Race to Lead survey in 2016, really ask the question, why are there so few leaders of color in the nonprofit sector? And we are still asking that question, six, eight years later. And the findings of this report show that there’s actually a decline to aspire to leadership. And I got to talk a little bit about that, especially for BIPOC respondents. The BIPOC respondents who are interested in leadership, tend to be pushed into leadership by negative factors rather than pulled by positive factors on the difference they can make and the joy they might find in the job. And that the last thing is that, maybe it’s because BIPOC leaders still lag behind white leaders and the types of supports they receive once they’re in the positions.

Let’s go to the first finding, which is that there’s fewer people aspiring to be leaders in 2022 than in 2016 and 2019. The people of color, that dark blue bar, are people who aspire to leadership. And I want to note, the people of color still aspire to be leaders more than white leaders, in 2022 that was 14 percentage points more than white leadership. People of color are still aspiring to be leaders more than their white counterparts. But you can see over time for white people, there’s a gradual decline in their interest in leadership. Whereas for people of color, there was this little slight bump in 2019, not a huge difference, but then there’s this pretty steep decline, a six percentage point decline, when we get to 2022.

Looking at it in a different way in the next slide, is people who say they definitely or probably do not want to lead a nonprofit. And between 2016 and 2019, there wasn’t a really big change between, people who said they didn’t want to lead a nonprofit, but then you get to 2022 and you can see this steep increase in the lack of interest in leading a nonprofit. Our question was, why is this happening? And the first thing is that, why do people say they don’t want to lead? And if you look at the next slide, this answer’s from 2022, but it really reflects what we saw in 2016 and 2019.

Usually people say that the work doesn’t interest me. You can see that as the top reason for BIPOC respondents and the second top reason for white respondents or the people are looking for more work-life balance. And that’s especially true of white respondents. Also, people might say they’re happier in their current job, that their skills aren’t well suited. What’s not on the slide is that people of color are more likely to say, though it’s not a large percentage, that they’re planning to leave the nonprofit sector.

On the next slide, you can see... I want to just explain what you’re going to see in the next few slides. We really started to dig into, okay, well, what makes somebody aspire to leadership? We looked at the challenges that people said they faced currently in the sector and we also looked at the supports they
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face. I'm going to try to explain how to read these slides. You're going to see a few of them as I talk about these issues. The little blue pin here, that little blue pinhead, is people who say they often or always face a challenge. In this case it's inadequate salaries. And the little orange or gold color pin, is people who say they rarely or never faced a challenge. And these are people in each of those categories who aspire to leadership.

(00:19:59):
You can see here, especially for BIPOC respondents, if they experience inadequate salaries, they're more likely to aspire to leadership by 18 percentage points. It's really a high number. There's a slight difference between white respondents, but this challenge instead of the [inaudible 00:20:18] want to retreat from leadership, it wants them to be leaders. That's the push into leadership in order to correct for themselves and for others, challenges that they face.

(00:20:28):
The next slide, you can see more of these challenges. The inadequate salaries is in the upper left here, but you also see too little attention devoted to staff wellness and care, the stress on being called upon to push diversity, equity, and inclusion in the organization, and few opportunities for advancement. Rather than being pulled to leadership saying, "Oh, I don't have challenges, I want to move ahead and experience the impact and joy I can have in leading," you're being pushed to leadership by, correcting negative experiences in the sector. We thought maybe if people receive support, they would be more likely to want to lead.

(00:21:05):
And we find out slightly, if you go to the next slide, it gives you a sense of what you're going to see in the slide after this, is that supports didn't really make that much of a difference. That the supports had a slight bump for people in receiving training actually more for white respondents than for people of color respondents. But there's not this big difference that you saw in the challenges. And actually if you look at mentors at my job, it's not even a positive effect for BIPOC respondents, it's a negative effect. Much more of a positive effect for white respondents. The one place that seemed to make a difference, not a huge difference, but really did make a difference, was mentors outside the job. Maybe those mentors outside the job, that people seek out members that help them with their advancement in the sector.

(00:21:56):
If we go to the next slide, we can look at why people might not want to, especially BIPOC people aspiring to be leaders, might not want to advance into leadership. Because this slide shows, the type of support BIPOC leaders and white leaders face or are given, when they enter into a leadership position. When you enter into a position, did you have support for the board? Well, look at this difference between the right respondents, whether they had support from the board. And the gaps are consistent or bigger between 2019 and 2022. White respondents have more support from the board of directors and from the previous executive director. We added two new questions in 2022. BIPOC leaders also have less support from their staff and less support from other executive directors. The one place that BIPOC leaders transitioning into their jobs have more support, is in executive coaching, and they also have a little bit more support and funding, which might be paying for that executive coaching. But that executive coaching might be to overcome some of the challenges that they face, as they enter into their organizations.

(00:23:13):
On the next slide, you could see the type of challenge that people face, once they're in their positions. And again, you see that BIPOC leaders face more challenges for the staff supporting their leadership or accepting them, holding them accountable. And also not on the slide, there's more of support for white
leaders from their board of directors, about a 10 percentage point difference. Again, most people get support from their board, but white leaders are more likely to be supported by their boards than BIPOC leaders.

(00:23:45):
And then, if you look at the next slide, we found, when we asked people if they were in the process or thinking about leaving the organization, the reason that they would leave, and we were really shocked by the dramatic response that, especially by BIPOC leaders, but both BIPOC and white leaders are leaving because of burnout. 41% of BIPOC leaders say that they're thinking about or planning to leave, because they're burnt out. 31% of white leaders, white leaders are much more likely to be leaving almost an equal amount because of retiring. And then some people say they want less responsibility. What we ask next is, what could be pulling people into leadership? Instead of pushing people into leadership from negative experience or by seeing the negative effects of BIPOC leaders, are there pulls into leadership?

(00:24:37):
And we ask this question that you can see in the next slide, just in 2022. And that question was, if you think about the difference about how you feel in these areas of work, how important these areas of work are? Before the pandemic, before the murder of George Floyd, before the uprisings, were these issues more important since that happened or are they equally important? Since all those events of 2020, what is significantly more important to you and what is equally important? We also asked what's less important, but there were so few we're not showing that. And you could see here, again, for people who aspire to be leaders, the mission of the organization is more important. The impact of the work they do is more important. Since 2020, the alignment between organizational practice and values, the impact of the organization and the culture of the organization. And that gap between what's equally important and significantly more important, is greater for BIPOC respondents than white respondents.

(00:25:46):
What would we recommend as we move forward? Well, first of all, we should make the job more doable. We have leadership jobs, especially for BIPOC leaders, that are already coming in at a disadvantage and we make it harder for them to succeed in their organizations. And that might be more funding. You need to hire more staff, but you also have to address the internal structures that are working against you as well as the external environment that you're working in. We also need to be much more open to new forms of leadership. We found that between 2019 and 2022, more especially BIPOC leaders, are co-leaders. So they're leading with somebody else. It went from eight percentage point to 16 percentage points for BIPOC leaders. What are the new ways that we can think about leadership and sharing leadership so that support people in these top roles?

(00:26:36):
And then finally, you saw that slide where BIPOC leaders were receiving less support from executive directors or existing executive directors. How can we resource cohorts or conversations between current and future leaders, that really talk about the benefits of leading the supports people need and the impact you can have when you become a leader of a non-profit organization? With that, Sean, can I turn it back over to you to introduce the panel?

Sean (00:27:09):
Absolutely. We're excited to be joined today by our colleagues, Kavitha Khandekar Chopra, Bamby Salcedo, and also by Kelly Woodland. We are going to drop their bios in the chat so you can get to know a little bit more about them. But we're going to actually start by shifting the views that you can see all of us as panelists and we can start the conversation. And so, what we're going to do is start by asking each of our panelists to respond to a question, taking up this frame of acknowledging that there are negative
factors that are pushing a lot of leaders of color into leadership roles, rather than positive factors that are pulling or drawing people into leadership.

(00:28:06):

Want to pose a question to the three of you around, what has pulled you towards being a non-profit leader? And/or what has kept you in leadership as well or sustained your leadership? Because I want to acknowledge that both things are probably true, been some ways in which, you've probably been pushed, but also trying to think about, what are some of the pulls and identifying some of those pulls? Let's see. Kavitha, can we start with you and then we'll have Kelly go?

Kavitha Khandekar Chopra (00:28:39):

Absolutely. Thank you, Sean. Hi everybody. Kavitha Khandekar Chopra, she/her pronouns. The Managing Director of Strategy and Engagement at re:power. I love this question and as I thought of the answer, I thought of my parents. I am lucky to have really strong examples of community leadership in my life. And both my parents I view as leaders in their own right, with my father being like in corporate America, and my mom organizing her community, her people. And what I saw through them and what ultimately pulled me into leadership was really, the joy that they received in building community. The pride in building something in collaboration with others, that joy that comes from seeing someone succeed and knowing you really had a hand in that. And because I'm a Virgo, I always say, there's also just a real satisfaction of getting things done. And having the access and the positional power to help get that done.

(00:29:45):

I really was reflecting on those pieces of seeing my own parents and their leadership over the years, and also thinking about, watching my father who took on additional leadership as his career progressed and seeing how he was able to open the door for others as he stepped further and further up a corporate ladder. I didn't end up in the corporate world, I ended up here in the non-profit sector, but his strong sense of fairness and justice in his approach to leadership, definitely left an imprint on me. And I think about that as a pull for myself as well of, what can I do with this positional power, with this leadership, to continue to wield it in a support of the long arc of justice? Those are just some of the few pulls that came to mind. Thank you.

Sean (00:30:45):

Thanks so much. Kelly, how about you? And then we'll hear from Bamby.

Kelly Woodland (00:30:49):

Thanks, Sean, I appreciate it. Nice having the opportunity to meet everyone, see everyone through this platform. My name is Kelly Woodland. I am Managing Director of Leadership Equity for the United Way of Greater Philadelphia, in Southern New Jersey. And similar to what Kavitha mentioned, my parents had a very strong impact on me, and they always emphasize the responsibility to give and to help. But as I reflect on my career, I've had the opportunity to lead a couple of nonprofits and a few initiatives. And honestly, Sean, when I looked at that question, I struggled to think of pull factors. I can rattle off all the push and in some cases probably the shove factors all day long. But one of the things is, as I think about my current position, when I created the concept of leadership equity here at the United Way, in essence, not knowing about this research, my position is actually creating a positive conspiracy of pull factors for black nonprofit leaders.

(00:31:58):

And for me, a critical pull factor in what I'm doing currently is the United Way investing in me to invest and pour into black leaders. Another pull factor is the latitude, the thought partnership and trust that's been extended to me to do what is needed. And one thing that I'd like to add is, as it relates to aspiring people to lead, people inherently have a need to be heard, valued, and recognized. And that's something
that is common, especially among people of color. And in my opinion, is that in some cases in our society, our society has conditioned us to believe that, you have a better chance of being heard and recognized if you lead, if you represent an organization. Or if you have some type of constituency behind you. That may be another factor as we talk about, how folks arrive or aspire to be leaders.

I also think that the pull and push factors can be different, based upon, when in your career you have the opportunity to lead. We'll talk a little bit more, but thanks for the opportunity.

Sean:

Thanks so much, Kelly. Bamby, how about you? What's pulled and/or pushed you into leading?

Bamby Salcedo:

Yes. I'm really grateful that, under the leadership of Connie from Southern California Investing Justice, that this cohort of leaders here in Los Angeles specifically, that we hope could be replicated in many other places. It's an opportunity for women who are leaders of color, particularly leaders of organizations and executive positions, to be able to decompress and to be able to just, even if it's just for one day to forget about everything that is happening. And I know that it's super hard for many of us to find that moment and for us to find that time. But in my experiences and for what I heard from my sisters is that, it is replenishing, right? It feeds my soul and it feeds people's soul. It propels us to continue to do the work that still needs to happen.
And one of the things that doesn't happen often is that, people really think about the investment that need to happen in people, for people to continue to do the work. We don't think of healthcare. I mean, yeah, our self-care, which is also healthcare, right? Because, if we don't take care of our health through self-preservation and self-care, then, we cannot continue to do this work. I think it's important to recognize that, this work is very taxing in our bodies and our minds and our spirits, especially with everything that is happening across the United States against communities of color and the hate that is happening, the rhetoric that is happening, and how all of that impacts our psyche.

And when we find spaces for us to come together and share our challenges, our opportunities, our hopes, our visions, right? While at the same time take care of our bodies and take care of our spirits, it's something that we really need to, I'm going to say, duplicate in other places. And I'm really grateful that we were able to bring this cohort together again under the leadership of Connie and my sister from Koko. Anyways, yes.

Frances:

Areya.

Bamby Salcedo:

Oh my God, how could I forget Areya?

Frances:

I know. But I knew you knew. I knew you knew.

Bamby Salcedo:

I have her face and I'm like, "Areya, please." Please forgive me [inaudible].

Frances:

I knew you knew. Kavitha, can I turn to you? And we might come back to you Bamby again, but Kavitha, at this point, you've been participating in a program and also re:power has its own program. Can you tell us a little bit about both and the impact that's had on you and on the organization?

Kavitha Khandekar Chopra:

Absolutely. I'm going to start with, the re:power program, just building off of what Bamby just shared about the Sisterhood Trust because, we have a program internally at re:power, which we call the Women of Color Leadership Program, which is a similar setup. It was built out of this realization of the need for women of color leaders to have spaces that were built for them, that spoke to their needs, that folks could come together within that community. And really, not only meet the needs around, skill building and professional leadership, but also their own personal leadership, their sustainability within this movement, the things that they need to talk through with peers, like management struggles, things that come up for them along the way.

Two years ago, we built out this program, which is a three-month cohort. It meets once in-person at the start of the cohort, then virtually for 12 weeks. Then once again in-person at the end of the cohort. We've been bringing together 30 to 35 leaders who identify as women of color over the last couple of years, and we run it twice a year. And the cohort just has amazing success. It not only really speaks to some of the things that Bamby just spoke to, around taking care of ourselves, understanding how we see ourselves as more than just the work, and how do we move through this work recognizing that? But also, in building the network that folks need.
And I think as I was listening to Bamby speak, that was what was really resonating for me. It was like, yes, we all need people that understand, not only the work we do, but the position that we hold. Especially when we are sitting in identities that are marginalized within our society, let alone within our organizations. And so, having a network of women of color leaders that folks can really lean on and turn to, has just been extremely beneficial coming... Our cohort participants are always sharing really, wonderful things. If you happen to go to our Instagram page for re:power, there’s a great little video that we put up there a couple of weeks ago sharing some of the great things folks had to say about participating in our cohort. And it’s really open to mid-level managers. Recognizing that there are some more supports for higher level executive directors, and even the number twos, which I'll talk about in a second, but the middle level managers are sometimes not seen, especially in big organizations. How can we develop supports for them, to continue to pull them into leadership?

But outside of re:power, I have the great pleasure of being a part of the Build the Bench cohort, which is sponsored by the Center of Innovation on Worker Organizations, which is called CIWO, and it’s housed at Rutgers University. And this initiative was designed specifically to support the number twos in organizations. Again, realizing that, when you become an ED and executive director, you may suddenly have all these supports presented to you. But, what was existing for the folks that maybe are going to be the ones to take that next step? And so, Build the Bench was really created out of that idea of, how do we support these leaders before they have to step into leadership or the highest rung of leadership? And it's just been an amazing experience. It's been great to connect to other number twos across the countries in similar organizations, folks who really understand the work, but also I think the position and the unique challenges that come from being both the manager of many, many people, and also reporting up to an executive director and the challenges that come with that position.

I'm really fortunate to be a part of that cohort and Build a Bench have an annual convening that brings folks together. But there’s also these quarterly meetups where we have a chance to cover topics that feel the most resonant to us. And I know they just have some new people come on, so they're building out even more programming for this cohort, which we’re really excited about.

Frances: Great. Thank you. And could you put in the chat, the Instagram handle, because people have been asking for it. And Kelly, you run this program, you developed this program. Tell us more about the support that you give as the Black Nonprofit Chief Executives of Philadelphia came into being.

Kelly Woodland: I'm one of the conveners of BNCEP, but what I want to start off with very briefly is, when we speak about child and youth development, we often use the term or the reference, it takes a village. And we talk about how important it is for folks to have social connections and how it helps to shape and support individuals. And leadership development and leadership sustainability is no different. We need a village. For Black Nonprofit leaders in Philly, BNCEP is that village. BNCEP was founded in June, 2020, in the aftermath of the murder of George Floyd, and in the backdrop of COVID. At that first meeting, we had 24 people attended a virtual meeting. And it was clear even at that time, and still today, that black Nonprofit leaders needed a space to connect, support each other, share together, and learn together. Today, four years later almost, BNCEP has over 260 members. Many Black Nonprofit EDs, CEOs, senior leaders, program directors, are now members of BNCEP.

And in my role as a Managing Director of Leadership Equity at the United Way, myself and my colleague, Folashade Dunbar, we do capacity building a little differently. We focus on building the community of Black leaders first, and have those leaders inform us of what they need and
want in professional development or training. Even to matters around dosage, the delivery, the format, and how they want to be engaged and how they want to be supported. Traditional capacity building, and we've all been there, really identifies what they see, as what you need, and then create programming to shoehorn or squeeze you in, based on what they think you need.

And one of the things that I think is important, and both Bamby and Kavitha mentioned it, is recognizing that social connections and relationship building, are equally important as skill development and leadership enhancement. The things that we provide BNCEP members, are free executive coaching. Now, many of you out there know, unfortunately, it has a bad connotation because, people get executive coaching when they're about to get terminated. This executive coaching is when the leader says, "I need a coach, around specific areas that I feel are important. I need a thought partner. I need someone to help guide me through this challenge." That executive coaching is critical.

We provide frequent leadership and management workshops. We provide finance academies, we have programming for emerging leaders, aspiring to be CEOs or EDs. We've conducted evaluation workshops. Even just last week, as a matter of fact, the ladies of BNCEP conducted a healing and a thriving event for Black women nonprofit leaders, and that had about 100 attendees. We convened CEO round tables, which are, because we have a large group, we create a smaller safe space for leaders to candidly discuss issues that are of importance to them. We create opportunities for Black nonprofit leaders to connect with regional funders. We offer frequent opportunities to make connections among peers because that's important too, to facilitate and foster those connections among each other.

One of the things we did last November was we provided the first overnight wellness retreat for 50 Black nonprofit leaders from Philadelphia. That hadn't been done before. And I can say, when we do these types of things, it sends a message to regional philanthropy around what is important. And then they have been very responsive as well. We convene general body meetings where we present information on a variety of different issues of importance. The January meeting we talked about, accessing state and federal funding that isn't reimbursable. Because in Philadelphia, if you have a contract with the city of Philadelphia, it's on a reimbursable basis, but we needed to share information to our members on how they can access state and federal funding that is not on a reimbursable basis.

We advocate for equitable funding, and essentially we serve as a connector to resources because we realize, we can't be everything to everyone. Now, all of these programs are designed to support, nurture, and promote Black nonprofit leadership. And the benefits to building the community first, is that you never have to recruit or market the program. We have a community that is prepared to take advantage of what's being offered. We invest that time that would be typically spent recruiting, in customizing the program to meet the needs of our members. We send out a notice on an upcoming program, and within 24 hours, there's a registration. Registration is completed. That's a little bit about the BNCEP. Thank you.

Thanks so much, Kelly.
Frances

Thanks so much. And Sean-
I'm actually going to go off script a little bit, because we were going to pivot to asking a question to each of you about, what can the sector do to support programs? And I'm mindful that we are getting some questions in the Q&A. There was a question about power structures that we end up replicating as people of color that fortunately Kavitha did weigh in on. We also got a question that was about fundings and one of the main issues for leaders of color being the difficulty accessing resources.

And so, just wanted to maybe pose both of those questions to our panelists and see which one you want to respond to. Kavitha, since you already started weighing in on the question about replicating structures, I wonder if there's anything more that you wanted to say beyond what you put into the Q&A.

Kavitha Khandekar Chopra:

Thank you. Thank you, Zara, for asking this question. And I just want to really lift it up in case folks didn't see it, of just, this sense of, how do we do this work? How do we support BIPOC leaders? And also recognize that sometimes it's our BIPOC leaders who are creating harm or causing lateral harm. I think there's generally an idea of, when we place a BIPOC person in leadership, that the harm should be mitigated just by the sheer fact of their representation. And the reality is that, that's not true. That we all are still very much swimming in the waters of all the things that exist around us. And that, I think really it comes back to this idea of, competition, scarcity, individualism, which has been really ingrained in all of us for so many years. And so, I think a lot about how much work on learning political education that it's really going to take for all of us to get to the place where we can figure out how not to be harming one another as we ascend into leadership.

And that idea too, of ascension and positional power and hierarchy, all of those things are coming into question right now. I know even within my own organization, there's so much talk about that. And so, just recognizing that we at this current moment are tasked with holding a lot of different conversations, both around what is happening external to our organization, what we see is what we're fighting and what we're hoping to make an impact on, and also how that then shows up within our own organization. And that we as people of color, it doesn't mean that we just inherently know the answer or know the way as to how to fix that. And so, figuring out how to lead transparently, but also develop the support of the organization and of other leaders, to try to move us toward more community and collective action and abundance, which is not an easy task by any means.

Kelly Woodland:

I think oftentimes we make the assumption that, because individuals are people of color that, that they're anti-racist. And that's something that you can't necessarily assume. There have been cases where we've seen that. I think one of the things that we try to do from a tactical point within BNCEP, is really put the emphasis on building relationships, as opposed to being transactional. The sector that we're in is 100% transactional, and if we don't have any use to them, then we are dispensed. And the thing that we have to begin to do is, recognize that we bring our full self to the work, and deal with each other in a supportive way that builds relationships and really help to change the sector.
As it pertains to philanthropy, that's an issue that has been difficult for a long time, but I will say, you have two different issues. The staff at many foundations, tend to be, in some cases, on the same page. It's the boards of the foundation where the work has to be done. And that's where the emphasis has to be made. And I think to be perfectly honest with you, we have to really challenge, and when we talk about this whole reckoning from 2020 and the rollback, we've seen, whether it's the University of Texas and things in Texas and in Florida, we have to challenge philanthropy to live up to those DEI statements that they made several years ago. And we have to challenge them to show the measurable impacts of the good things that happen when they prioritize BIPOC led nonprofit organizations. We can't allow folks to get off the hook.

(00:58:14):

We also have to start lifting up our own stories, around what happened and why when folks started to prioritize equity, how organizations survive, and how some organizations were able to do some good work and in some cases thrive for a little bit of time. I think it's not one answer, it's a very complicated question, but that's one of the things that I would just say, focus on trying to deal with the board's challenge philanthropy to lift up and live up rather, to those high lofty DEI statements and have them lift up the good work that was done when they prioritize equity. That's the story that has to be told, and it hasn't been.

Sean (00:58:58):

Thanks so much, Kelly. And Bamby, want to now move towards wrapping up with you. Curious what your vantage point is on these questions about, both philanthropy. Because, we know that the investment in organizations, LGBTQ organizations generally, but particularly trans organizations, has been lacking for years. But also, how do you think about this question that's raised around, the ways that we replicate hierarchies, whether it's on the basis of our leadership as people of color or within our community and spaces as well? I'm just curious what you would say about all of this. I'm sure [inaudible 00:59:40].

Bamby Salcedo (00:59:39):

I do have a lot to say, actually. No, but the truth is that, unfortunately, the way the system is created, we have to fall into what has already been created. Like this hierarchy, that, a lot of times we don't have a choice. Obviously there are other models we’re surfing but, I think what we can do when we are in this positions of leadership... I can put our organization as an example. We do have a board, but all of our board are trans women who are Latinas, who some are monolingual speakers, who we don't ask to raise money for us, for the organization.

(01:00:37):

Essentially, we don't have a typical model of a nonprofit because, again, most nonprofits you ask a give or get amount of money or fundraise or whatever it is. We don’t have that model. And in addition to that, we are adopting policies to really value people and support people, and for people to really understand that the work that they’re doing is important and that we care about their sustainability. Some of the things that we have implemented, for instance is, all of our employees get a sabbatical. Not just executives, but all of our employees.

(01:01:25):

Another thing that we have included is free healthcare for all of our employees. And I posted in the chat a report that is called, all the respect on how do we support or how other organizations are supporting organizers. And so, I think we have to live to what we believe in and put that into practice. A lot of times we use language and we use terms of social justice or transformative justice and healing justice, but, what do we actually do about that? And so, I think that is something that we need to really reconcile
ourselves with, and really think about how we can make all of those things happen. Obviously, valuing people who are doing the most hard work, that one can possibly think.

And I'm thinking of people like myself, people who are trans, who are case managers, and who are often living their own experiences of violence and when they interview people and stuff like that. And so, what do we do to protect our people? We do provide mental health services and coaching, and I believe in leadership development and I know that I'm not going to be here forever. And so, how do we prepare the pipeline, not just within our organization, but overall? And I know that we as an organization, are doing that. We're contributing to building the infrastructure of the broader trans movement, and that includes making sure that we start with our own organization.

Thanks so much.

But I think also, we'll have a responsibility, right? Philanthropy, all of us in whatever way possible, we need to use our privilege and our power to open opportunities for all the people who may not have access

Thanks so much, Bamby. Thank you, Kelly. Thank you, Kavitha. I really appreciated this conversation. Thanks to all of you for joining this webinar. As we said at the beginning, you'll get a follow-up email with the recording and other information about the conversation that we had today. [inaudible 01:04:07] you'll reach out to the panelists, learn more about the programs and their organizations, and build programs like these in other parts of the country as well. Thanks everybody for being with us and hope you have a good rest of the day.